

DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669
City Attorney
JOANNE HOEPER, State Bar #114961
Chief Trial Attorney
SEAN F. CONNOLLY, State Bar # 152235
Deputy City Attorney
Fox Plaza
1390 Market Street, 6th Floor
San Francisco, California 94102-5408
Telephone: (415) 554-3863
Facsimile: (415) 554-3837
E-Mail: sean.connolly@sfgov.org

Attorneys for Defendants
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, ET AL.

BENJAMIN NISENBAUM, State Bar # 222173
LAW OFFICES OF JOHN L. BURRIS
Airport Corporate Centre
7677 Oakport Street, Suite 1120
Telephone: (510) 839-5200
Facsimile: (510) 839-3882

Attorneys for Plaintiff
ESTHER HWANG

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ESTHER HWANG,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, ET AL.

Defendants.

Case No. C07-02718 MMC

**STIPULATION TO CONDUCT
DEPOSITIONS AFTER DISCOVERY
CUT-OFF; ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER**

Whereas the City attempted numerous times to meet and confer with plaintiff regarding the dates for deposition of third party witnesses, as required by local rule;

Whereas, having not heard back from plaintiff, City timely noticed depositions of five third party witnesses to be completed by May 16, 2008, the discovery cut-off set by this Court;

Whereas plaintiff's counsel has informed the City that he/they cannot attend the dates noticed for deposition;

Whereas, the parties have met and conferred on the issue;

Whereas, plaintiff has stipulated to allow the City to conduct these depositions on a mutually convenient date after the discovery cut-off;

IT IS SO STIPUTED BY THE PARTIES.

Dated: May 12, 2008

DENNIS J. HERRERA
City Attorney
SEAN F. CONNOLLY
Deputy City Attorney

By: s/Sean F. Connolly
SEAN F. CONNOLLY
Attorneys for Defendants
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.

Dated: May 12, 2008

BENJAMIN NISENBAUM, ESQ.
JOHN BURRIS, ESQ.
Law Officers of John Burris

By: s/John Burris
JOHN BURRIS
Attorney for Plaintiff
ESTHER HWANG

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION,

IT IS SO ORDERED

JUDGE MAXINE M. CHESNEY
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1 Whereas plaintiff's counsel has informed the City that he/they cannot attend the dates noticed
2 for deposition;

3 Whereas, the parties have met and conferred on the issue;

4 Whereas, plaintiff has stipulated to allow the City to conduct these depositions on a mutually
5 convenient date after the discovery cut-off:

6 IT IS SO STIPUTED BY THE PARTIES.

7
8 Dated: May 12, 2008

9
10 DENNIS J. HERRERA
City Attorney
11 SEAN F. CONNOLLY
Deputy City Attorney
12

13 By: s/Sean F. Connolly
14 SEAN F. CONNOLLY
Attorneys for Defendants
15 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.

16 Dated: May 12, 2008

17 BENJAMIN NISENBAUM, ESQ.
18 JOHN BURRIS, ESQ.
Law Officers of John Burris
19

20 By: John Burris
21 JOHN BURRIS
Attorney for Plaintiff
22 ESTHER HWANG

23 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION,

24 IT IS SO ORDERED, and the deadline to conduct such depositions is extended from May 16, 2008 to
25 May 30, 2008. Further, the instant stipulation shall not, by itself, constitute good cause to extend the remaining
26 deadlines and dates.

27 Dated: May 15, 2008

28 Maxine M. Chesney
JUDGE MAXINE M. CHESNEY
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT